United States District Court Southern District of Texas

ENTERED

October 29, 2021 Nathan Ochsner, Clerk

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS CORPUS CHRISTI DIVISION

FREDERICK E REED,	§
71.1.100	§
Plaintiff,	§
VS.	§ CIVIL ACTION NO. 2:21-CV-129
	§
OLUFOLAKE OGUNLADE, et al.,	§
	§
Defendants.	§

ORDER ADOPTING MEMORANDUM & RECOMMENDATION

Before the Court is Magistrate Judge Jason Libby's Memorandum and Recommendation ("M&R"). (D.E. 18). The M&R recommends that the Court retain Plaintiff's Eighth Amendment claim of deliberate indifference against Defendant Ogulande in her individual capacity. The M&R also recommends that the Court dismiss with prejudice (1) Plaintiff's claims for money damages against Defendants Ogunlade and Guana in their official capacities as barred by the Eleventh Amendment, (2) Plaintiff's claim against the Texas Department of Criminal Justice ("TDCJ") as barred by the Eleventh Amendment, and (3) Plaintiff's deliberate indifference claim against Defendant Guana in his individual capacity for failure to state a claim. (D.E. 6).

The parties were provided proper notice of, and the opportunity to object to, the Magistrate Judge's M&R. See 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1); FED. R. CIV. P. 72(b); General Order No. 2002-13. No objection has been filed. When no timely objection has been filed, the district court need only determine whether the Magistrate Judge's M&R is clearly erroneous or contrary to law. *United States v. Wilson*, 864 F.2d 1219, 1221 (5th Cir. 1989)

(per curiam); Powell v. Litton Loan Servicing, LP, No. CIV. A. H-14-2700, 2015 WL

3823141, at *1 (S.D. Tex. June 18, 2015).

Having carefully reviewed the proposed findings and conclusions of the Magistrate

Judge, the filings of the parties, the record, and the applicable law, and finding that the

M&R is not clearly erroneous or contrary to law, the Court ADOPTS the M&R in its

entirety. (D.E. 18). Accordingly:

(1) The Court RETAINS Plaintiff's Eighth Amendment claim of deliberate

indifference against Defendant Ogulande in her individual capacity. (D.E. 6).

(2) The Court **DISMISSES** with prejudice:

a. Plaintiff's claims for money damages against Defendants Ogunlade and

Guana in their official capacities as barred by the Eleventh Amendment;

b. Plaintiff's claim against the TDCJ as barred by the Eleventh Amendment;

and

c. Plaintiff's deliberate indifference claim against Defendant Guana in his

individual capacity for failure to state a claim.

SO ORDERED.

DAVID S. MORALES

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

Dated: Corpus Christi, Texas

October 301, 2021